

Statement

Statement Showing Locationwise Number of Hotels Being Operated by ITDC

Sl.No.	Location	No. of Hotels
1	2	3
01.	Itanagar* (Arunachal Pradesh)	1
02.	Guwahati* (Assam)	1
03.	Patna (Bihar)	1
04.	Bodhgaya (Bihar)	1
05.	Ranchi* (Bihar)	1
06.	Manali (Himachal Pradesh)	1
07.	Jammu (Jammu & Kashmir)	1
08.	Bangalore (Karnataka)	1
09.	Hassan (Karnataka)	1
10.	Mysore (Karnataka)	1
11.	Kovalam (Kerala)	1
12.	Bhopal* (Madhya Pradesh)	1
13.	Khajuraho (Madhya Pradesh)	1
14.	Aurangabad (Maharashtra)	1
15.	New Delhi (NCT)	8
16.	Bhubaneswar (Orissa)	1
17.	Puri* (Orissa)	1
18.	Pondicherry* (UT)	1
19.	Jaipur (Rajasthan)	1
20.	Udaipur (Rajasthan)	1
21.	Bharatpur** (Rajasthan)	1
22.	Madurai (Tamilnadu)	1

1	2	3
23.	Mamallapuram (Tamilnadu)	1
24.	Varanasi (Uttar Pradesh)	1
25.	Agra (Uttar Pradesh)	1
26.	Calcutta (West Bengal)	1
Total		33

*Joint Venture Hotel

**Managed Hotel

[Translation]

New Rail Lines in Uttar Pradesh

2084. SHRI BHAGWAN SHANKAR RAWAT: Will the Minister of RAILWAYS be pleased to state:

(a) whether any survey has been conducted to lay new rail lines in Uttar Pradesh;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) the time by which these lines are likely to be laid?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS (SHRI SATPAL MAHARAJ): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) 9 surveys for new lines have been completed in the last 5 years and 12 surveys are in progress at present. A list of these surveys is enclosed as statement.

(c) None of these projects for which the surveys have been completed have been sanctioned. As regards projects for which surveys are in progress, further consideration will be possible once the survey reports become available.

Statement

The details of surveys which have been conducted during the last 5 years and or being conducted for new rail lines in Uttar Pradesh are as under:-

Details of surveys completed

Section Surveyed	Year When Surveyed	KMS	Project Cost in Cr. (Rs.)	ROR
1	2	3	4	5
1. Daurala to Bijnaur via Hastinapur.	1995	63.50	66.74	Less than 5%
2. Khurja-Palwal-Rewari-Rohtak (partly in UP)	1995	212.65	365.47	Less than 2%
3. Tanakpurghat-Bageshwar	1992	154.50	659.25	Negative
4. Mao-Ghazipur City	1992	42.00	39.00	Negative
5. Tarighat-Ghazipur	1993	8.70	93.54	Negative

1	2	3	4	5
6. Ramnagar-Chaukhutiya	1994	87.00	560.00	Negative
7. Sitapur-Nanpara	1995	135.00	195.00	Negative
8. Sitapur-Bahraich	1996	65.00	93.81	
9. Agra-Etawah	1991	110.50	101.38	Negative
Survey In Progress				
1. Panipat-Meerut (partly in Haryana)		100.00		
2. Laksar-Baksar		125.00		
3. Rishikesh-Dehradun		30.00		
4. Haridwar-Kotadwar-Ramnagar		125.00		
5. Dehradun-Saharanpur		70.00		
6. Rishikesh-Karanprayag		185.00		
7. Chandigarh-Dehradun via Jagadhari-Poanta Sahib-Kala Amb (partly in Punjab)		220.00		
8. Muzaffarnagar-Haridwar via Roorkee.		95.00		
9. Rail link to NOIDA (Deposit work)		—		
10. Kichha-Sitarganj-Nanakmata-Khatima.		55.00		
11. Tanakpur-Purnagiri		15.00		
12. Farukhabad-Golakarannath		153.32		

[English]

**Broad-Gauge Rail Line between
Ajmer and Ahmedabad**

2085. SHRI TARACHAND BHAGORA: Will the Minister of RAILWAYS be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal under consideration of the Government for laying of a rail line between Ajmer and Ahmedabad via Chittour-Udaipur (Rajasthan);

(b) if so, the time by which the work is likely to be commenced thereon; and

(c) if not, whether, the Government propose to include this scheme in the next Five Year Plan?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS (SHRI SATPAL MAHARAJ): (a) and (b) Gauge conversion of Ajmer-Chittaurgarh-Udaipur MG section has been included in the Supplementary Budget 1996-97. The necessary clearances for starting the work have also since been obtained and the work is being taken up.

(c) Does not arise.

[Translation]

Standing Committee on Development of Telematics

2086. SHRI JAGAT VIR SINGH DRONA: Will the Minister of COMMUNICATIONS be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government are aware that Parliamentary Standing Committee has pulled up the Ministry over the functioning of Centre for Development of telematics (C-DoT);

(b) whether the Committee are aware of the view that C-DoT has always failed to utilise the full amount allocated in Budget and the reply of the Ministry in this regard is not satisfactory; and

(c) if so, the steps taken by the Ministry to provide the information required in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF COMMUNICATIONS (SHRI BENI PRASAD VARMA): (a) and (b) Standing Committee has expressed concern for non-utilisation of funds for the year 1994-95.

(c) The Ministry has already submitted an Action Taken Note to the Standing Committee indicating the steps taken by C-DoT to ensure full utilisation of budgeted amount. This includes close monitoring by C-DoT Board to ensure full utilisation of the funds.

[English]

Conversion of LPT, Tamil Nadu

2087. SHRI N. DENNIS: Will the Minister of INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government propose to convert